

IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam

CITIWN.

### Scrum

Software Engineering II WS 2015/16 Arian Treffer arian.treffer@hpi.de

Prof. Plattner, Dr. Uflacker Enterprise Platform and Integration Concepts group

OLENGO

## HPI

### **1**. The Case for Agile

2. The Scrum Process

### 3. Scaling Scrum

## Why Traditional Projects Fail

- People are afraid of making changes
- Unofficial changes are carried out
- Documents get out of sync

## How Traditional Projects Fail

- Delivering late
- Delivering over budget
- Delivering the wrong thing
- Unstable in production
- Costly to maintain

## Why Traditional Projects Fail

- Smart people trying to do good work
- Stakeholders are well intended

### Process in traditional projects

HP

### Much effort for

- Documents for formalized hand-offs
- □ Templates
- Review committees

## Why Traditional Projects Fail

"The later we find a defect, the more expensive it is to fix it!"

Does front-loading a software development process make sense? Reality shows:

- Project plans are wonderful
- Adjustments & assumptions are made during analysis, design, code

- Replanning takes place
- Example: Testing phase at the end
  - Tester raises a defect
  - Programmer claims he followed the specification
  - Architect blames business analyst etc.
  - Exponential cost

## A Self-Fulfilling Prophecy

- We conduct the front-loaded process to minimize exponential costs of change
  - □ Project plan
  - Requirements specification
  - □ High-level design documents
  - Low-level design documents
- This process causes the exponential costs of change!
  - ➔ A self-fulfilling prophecy

This makes sense for a bridge, ship, or a building but software (and Lego) are easy to change!

## The Agile Manifesto

We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and helping others do it.

Through this work we have come to value:

Individuals and interactions over processes and tools Working software over comprehensive documentation Customer collaboration over contract negotiation Responding to change over following a plan

That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more. <u>http://agilemanifesto.org/</u>

### How Agile Methods Address Project Risks

### No longer late or over budget

- Tiny iterations
- Easy to calculate budget
- High-priority requirements first

### No longer delivering the wrong thing

- Strong stakeholder communication
- Short feedback cycles

### How Agile Methods Address Project Risks

HP

### No longer unstable in production

- Delivering each iteration
- High degree of automation

### No longer costly to maintain

- Maintenance mode starting with Sprint 2
- Maintenance of multiple versions during development

## The Cost of Going Agile

### **Outcome-based planning**

No complete detailed project plan

### **Streaming requirements**

A new requirements process

### **Evolving design**

• No complete upfront design  $\rightarrow$  flexible

### **Changing existing code**

Need for refactoring

ΗP

## The Cost of Going Agile

### **Frequent code integration**

Continuous integration

### **Continual regression testing**

Add nth feature; test n-1 features

#### **Frequent production releases**

Organizational challenges

### **Co-located team**

Keep momentum

ΗP

HPI

**Pros and Cons** 

- Short planning horizon
- No up-front design
- Stories instead of requirement documents
- Extreme ideology

HPI

- **1**. The Case for Agile
- **2.** The Scrum Process
- 3. Scaling Scrum

Scrum





### The Team



18



### **Product Owner**

Responsibilities

- Customer communication
  - Contact person for team
- Product Backlog
  - User Stories
  - Priorities
- Acceptance Criteria & Tests



### Scrum Master

Responsibilities

- Process manager
  - Moderator in meetings
- Management communication
  - Remove impediments
- Enabler, not boss





# Developers

Responsibilities

- Communication
  - □ Critically discuss all inputs
  - □ Honestly share important information
  - □ Represent team as expert
- Sprint Backlog
- Developing ;-)





## **Product Backlog**

List of work items

Requirements (modification requests)

□ Features

□ Bug fixes

Ordered/prioritized



22

In Scrum, requirements are often defined as user stories: "As <role>, I want <feature> to <reason>"

Requirements need to fulfill INVEST properties:

- I Independent
- N Negotiable
- V Valuable
- E Estimable
- S Small
- T Testable

http://xp123.com/articles/invest-in-good-stories-and-smart-tasks/

## Product Backlog Refinement Meeting

Ensure the backlog is ready for the next sprint

- Aka "Backlog Grooming"
- Team and PO discuss top Product Backlog items
  - Clarify questions
  - □ E.g. "Who can access this?"
    - "what happens if ...?"

- Make sure Product Backlog Items conform to team's "Definition of Ready"
- Ready is when the team says: "Ah, we get it" Jeff Sutherland

ΗP

## **Planning Meeting**

Filling the sprint

- Estimate Backlog items
- Move items from Product to Sprint Backlog

Defining the work

- Break down Backlog items into tasks
- PO not required

Total time: 2 hours per week of sprint



ΗP

### Tasks

For better planning, stories are broken down into tasks Tasks should be SMART:

- S Specific
- M Measurable
- A Achievable
- R Relevant
- T Time-boxed

http://xp123.com/articles/invest-in-good-stories-and-smart-tasks/



## **Sprint Backlog**

List of tasks for a sprint

- Tasks are signed-up for, not assigned
- During the sprint
  - □ No new features
  - Team may change/add tasks



## **Daily Scrum Meeting**



Status update

- Last achievements
- Next steps
- Problems

Max. 2 min per person Stand-up meeting?

Discussions?

Schedule subsequent expert's meeting



## **Review Meeting**

### **Acceptance** of Features

- Demo to PO
  - PO should be prepared
  - Optional: invite other stakeholders
- Comments by developers



### **Retrospective Meeting**

HPI

Internal team evaluation

- PO not required
- Discuss process and problems
- Measure improvements



### **Product Increment**

Potentially shippable increment

- Complete according to Definition of Done
  Even if not actually released
- No regrets if project ended now



### Scrum



32

### Team

- Product Owner
- Scrum Master
- Developers

### Meetings

- Planning
- Daily Scrum
- Review
- Retrospective

### Artifacts

- Product Backlog
- Sprint Backlog
- User Stories
- Software Increment

Scrum — Software Engineering II — WS 2015/16

## Effort, Schedule, and Cost Estimation



- Depends on software engineering process
- Highly uncertain, must be negotiated and revised with stakeholders
- Waterfall effort estimation
  - Methods: calibrated estimation model based on historical size
    - (Function Points, LOC, ...); expert judgment; ...
  - Output: X man-months
- Agile effort estimation
  - Iterative methods, shorter planning horizon
  - Output: functionality to be implemented in the next iteration

## Effort Estimation in Scrum with "Planning Poker"

HP

Participants

Everyone operationally involved in creating the

software product

Product Owner (and Scrum Master) are not playing

Preconditions

- Product backlog is complete and prioritized
- Backlog items are known by the team
- The effort for a small backlog item was determined as a reference
- Every participant has a set with sizing cards

## Planning Poker 1/2

- Product owner explains a backlog item
- Product owner answers questions of team members
- Every participant evaluates the complexity of the backlog item and chooses a card (hidden)
- All cards are shown simultaneously
- Participants with highest and lowest number explain choices
- The arguments are discussed in the group

## Planning Poker 2/2

A new vote is conducted

- Team agrees on item size
  - Most occurring or average value is acceptable
  - □ If not, another round is played
- The moderator notes size of backlog item in the product backlog
- The game ends if all backlog items are sized or time is over

## After Planning Poker

Begin the sprint

- Select stories until sprint is full
- Break down stories into tasks and fill your Scrum Board
  - (e.g. ToDo In Progress Verify Done)
- Assign stories to developer(s)
- Implement the stories task by task

ΗP

### Projekt Workflow: Product Owner



### Project Workflow: Developers



Scrum — Software Engineering II — WS 2015/16



IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam



### Recap: High-level Overview of SWT2





### Implications of the Setup

What's needed in such an environment?

- Development process
- Communication on multiple levels
- Infrastructure for collaboration

## Scaling Scrum: Project Start

Start small and grow organically

- Single Scrum team for preparation
- Work out foundation for the first sprints
- Scale when it becomes necessary

We are now at the first scaling point!

- Rudimentary architecture is present
- Infrastructure is prepared and ready to go

ΗP

### Architecture Overview



HPI

### Product Owner / Backlog Hierarchy Update at the end of each sprint to consolidate team results PO Team A Just-in-time update Chief before the PO Team B synchronized planning **Product Owner** Main **Product Backlog**

Team Product Backlogs PO Team C

[Christoph Mathis, Scrum Center]

### 45

## Scaling Scrum: Sprint Planning

### Preparation

- Individual review and retrospection meetings
- □ Meeting of all teams with 1-2 members each:
  - Review of the last sprint
  - Input dependencies (What is needed)
  - Output dependencies (What needs to be delivered)
- Execution
  - Individual plannings (strict timeboxing)
  - Discussion of identified additional input or output dependencies
  - □ Final sprint planning
- Problem: Time consuming & high degree of coordination needed!

## Scaling Scrum: Sprint Planning

Another Option: Co-located planning



### Scrum of Scrums

Goal: Synchronize team effort with minimal coordination overhead

- Regular meeting of all Scrum masters.
  - Developers join if necessary (ambassador principle)
- Scrum masters
  - □ Share their learnings
  - Report completions & next steps
  - Coordinate inter-team dependencies
  - Negotiate responsibility
- Developers discuss technical interfaces across teams
- Distribute information back into the teams

### Scrum



- **1**. The Case for Agile
- 2. The Scrum Process
- **3**. Scaling Scrum



## Image Credits

- "ST vs Gloucester Match 23" by PierreSelim Own work. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 via Wikimedia Commons -<u>http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:ST vs Gloucester - Match -</u> <u>23.JPG#mediaviewer/File:ST\_vs\_Gloucester - Match - 23.JPG</u>
- "Scrum process" by Lakeworks Own work. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0-2.5-2.0-1.0 via Wikimedia Commons -<u>http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Scrum\_process.svg#mediaviewer/</u> <u>File:Scrum\_process.svg</u>
- "Wien Seestadt, SW-Areal 2013 (2)" von Bwag Eigenes Werk. Lizenziert unter Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0-at über Wikimedia Commons -

http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Wien - Seestadt, SW-Areal 2013 (2).JPG#mediaviewer/File:Wien - Seestadt, SW-Areal 2013 (2).JPG