

Landscape analysis correlated  
with algorithm performance

Evolving algorithm selectors (or parameter  
tuners) which learn ~~from~~ which features  
are more important for their choices

Create EA Taxonomy of  
Landscapes with expected performance

Evolve explainable/interpretable  
selectors/tuners.

Bridging Continuous and  
discrete optimization theory.  
Can we make discrete spaces continuous?  
Would continuous optimizers work there?

- Especially for non-separable  
functions with interaction  
between discrete and cont.  
variables

- ~~Can~~ Analyze probability distributions  
over discrete spaces as a  
surrogate to solve discrete  
problems with continuous techniques  
(similar to EDAs)

- Closing the gap between  $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$  and  $O(n)$  binary unbiased complexity of OneMax

- Simplify existing work on lower bounds to a accessible framework (powerful).

- Black box complexity results on multimodal benchmarks

- Runtime analysis under gray-box and white-box settings

Studying how local optima look like on real problems to build adequate theoretical benchmarks

- find theoretical <sup>problem properties</sup> models generating same properties, which can be analyzed by drift etc.

- find generalizable theoretic toy problems where we can define the number of optima, the size of the attraction areas etc.

- Benchmark of functions with the problem properties defined above (when they can be parameterized)

↓  
P-PEAKS

↓  
Walsh  
decomp.

↓  
QPUBO

## Evolutionary Computation in quantum hardware

- Is it efficient to do co-evolution on quantum hardware?
- What aspects of quant. lend themselves best to EC?
- Can a basic EC be prototyped in existing emulators for quantum hardware?
- could completely new methods be found for quantum hardware?
- Or EAs to discover new quantum algorithms?



How can we better convey the significance of meta-heuristics given that a) they are abstract methods, and b) some applications (ex. combinatorial optimization) are hard for individuals to connect to their lives?

What can causal inference teach us about how problem instances (or algorithms and instances) relate to one another?

Could we use LLMs ~~to~~ or DL ~~to~~ to classify them or cluster them into similar groups given their descriptions?

Can we develop a rigorous theory of asynchronous parallel algorithms, to better enable distributed apps?

Could we design asyn. par. alg. that are easy to understand and therefore easier to start developing their rigorous theory.

# Meta EAs

↳ changing the algorithm itself using EA-approaches

PERFORMANCE

METRICS?

- self-adaptation in MOO  
and its routine analysis

multi-objective optimisation

## Meta-meta-EA?

(can it be so meta that there is no free variable (i.e. it adapts itself))

Some theoretical tool develop or proof idea sharing.  
→ for new problem in the middle zone like mix-integer problem.

→ or solving continuous problem (with a discrete algorithm)  
↳ maybe find a way to do dynamic discretization of the problem (so the discrete search space changes over time)

## Landscapes analysis:

### for algorithms and

~~if~~ more understudy in

what landscape is benefit for what question.



"Next-best Proof"

→ Automated recommender  
for incrementally  
generalizing proofs.

(transfers learning from  
other proofs)

Gray-Box: how  
to incorporate  
problem knowledge

—  
What is appropriate measure  
of the cost of gray box  
algorithm?

—  
Transition boundaries  
from black to gray  
to white

gray-box complexity?

Can "landscape analysis"  
and "runtime analysis"  
be brought together?

Instead of "EC(θ)"  
what happens when  
an algo encounters  
a feature?

—  
Runtimes for sub-  
classes of optimization  
problems exhibiting  
certain features

—  
Runtimes of landscape  
feature computation



Mathematical tools (like drift algorithms) to analyze runtime of [Evolutionary] Algorithms to solve continuous optimization problems.

What about mixed integer/real optimization?

Theoretical runtime analysis of Evolutionary strategies on interesting test/benchmark problems.

## Simplified (M-C)

Multi-Criteria Runtime focusing on trade-offs of optimization criteria

## ROBUSTNESS OF AI / Optimization Pipelines

Look at "typical" cases, instead of pathological ones.

WHAT IS A TYPICAL CASE?

Robustness of Automated Algorithm Selection.

Multi-Criteria App. Robustness as Crit.

In discrete optimization we look at different problem classes. In continuous optimization all problems are treated as one big class - why?

(NO...) focus on feature-based optimization problem classes



What happens during  
the run of an algo?  
How does it optimize?

EXPAND BENCHMARKING  
TOOLS SUCH AS  
IDH PROFILER

How far does algorithm  
deviate from expected  
behaviour at each stage?

Dynamic automated  
algorithm configuration

What can we say about  
the performance of EAs on  
more complex/interesting  
problem classes?

Can we have general  
results holding for  
a large variety of problem  
classes?

What are the interesting  
variety of problem classes  
to look at?

Landscape features  
instead of problem  
classes

Understanding  
internal optimization  
inference of generative  
large language models

More generally, given a  
system that makes decisions  
can you reverse engineer  
what it was trying to optimize?



Trade-off between costs of fitness evaluation vs "offline" computation.

---

To understand this, maybe theoretical results can be combined with empirical analysis which also talks about wall-clock time and not just about number of iterations?

## VISUALIZATION OF RUNTIME RESULTS

---

Blend theoretical results with empirical results

---

## Phases Regions for Param

Deepen the theoretical understanding of Genetic Programming.

Landscape analysis of search spaces in GP

New methods for runtime analysis of algorithms with a variable individual size (like semantic trees in GP problems).



Runtime analysis on non-trivial multi-objective combinatorial optimisation problems, e.g. multi-obj. MST.

I would add the multi-objective shortest path problem

Analysis of both black-box optimizers and algorithms which are tailored to these problems (e.g., two-bits-flip mutation for MST)



supported solution?

• Systematic Experimental Analysis on which EA's give 2-APX in reasonable time on combinatorial problems.

• Extend to analysis on multi-obj. combinatorial ~~no~~ When do we reach an approximation of the Pareto-set in reasonable time?

• What is reasonable time? Polynomial? ~~High order polynomials not~~ Quadratic? ~~What~~ What level of approximation can be obtained in, say, quadratic time?

• This looks like a fixed-budget analysis  $\Rightarrow$  it can inspire new drift theorems or even other tools for analysis.

~~No~~ Algebraic results to improve the performance of search algorithms

New local search operators using local properties of a landscape. Or also global ones, which use some properties which are large-scaled (based on some distant points fitness)? Or even a combination of two?

... or even combinations of more than two.



~~What~~ When can we prove lower bounds on runtime that hold for:

- arbitrary mutation operators?
- arbitrary selection operators?

Is it possible for arbitrary operators? Is it possible to cluster/categorize operators & give bounds?

↳ Or to provide a general way to categorize problem instances into groups where lower bounds are easier to prove for operators?

Connections of operators & Landscapes?

Discrete and continuous:  
what is similar? what is different?

What operator capabilities we do NOT YET use?

• Switching to mathematical optim. from metaheuristic when possible.

- could approach this question in two contexts:
  - when we have access to gradient
  - when we do not, and must obtain estimates.

• could also discuss trade-offs - when should I use what opti-method?  
↳ runtime?                      ↳ possibility?  
↳ complexity?

• Or how to decompose complex instances into easy-to-solve and hard-to-solve parts: ex. to hybridize Meta-heuristics with, say, linear programming.

- Study how "grey box" operators could be designed from gradient information.

More Topics / Papers on basics on EA / GP would be cool

↳ And in particular, how to make EAs accessible and useful to non-experts (ex. mechanical engineers, operations researchers, physicists, etc.)

Talking about GP it would be interesting to understand better the impact of constraining the size of the programs or the size of the primitive functions/set.

Make classes of functions on which certain EAs work better than on functions from other classes. How to classify a real-world function?



Find new EA with the power of AI™ ?!?

↳ Synthesize ideas out of large language models?

• The power of LLMs comes from massive exploitation of prior knowledge. How can we achieve the same with meta-heuristics?

• Could we use LLMs ~~as~~ as operators (some work has been made in neuroevolution)

• LLMs could be used as GP helpers creating/modifying code in different ways than we do with worst GP types

• Can we make LLM specific for the field of EA?

• Is AI effective for parameter selection?

Metaheuristics: What to do with all those nature - "analogies" that flood the conferences?

↳ Can we replace them with a new taxonomy that unifies them into a few natural mathematical families?

(In a more principled way than the current system of "EA, PSO, DE, EDA, ACO, & everything else?")

Is there a way to classify their operators rather than the algorithms and an algorithm could be grouped based on what type of operators they use.

Is it possible to make a bijection between metaheur. and certain mathematical objects in order to understand what is different?

What can algebraic methods (ex. group theory) teach us about classes of combinatorial optimization problems?

• Formalisation of metaheuristics applied to comb. opt. with Group theory.



- Comparison with experiments
- Study the generalization capabilities of benchmark results

◦ Encourage more experimental papers at FOGA? Maybe many from this community aren't aware their papers would be welcome at FOGA.

**Experiments can also create hints towards theoretical analysis of interesting phenomena.**

**Requires good benchmarking tools.**

Create ~~an~~ "empirical" and "theoretical" tracks reviewed separately - /AGREE

Pushing runtime analysis away from "toy problems" like OneMax toward more realistic problems that practitioners would be interested in...

Depends on problem class and instances; maybe involve parameterized complexity (k-bounded problems).

- Where are the limits of runtime analysis? How little "structural information" about a problem class is sufficient to give tight bounds for given algorithms? ~~Yes~~

- Identify problem "classes" and use their properties to adapt the EA

- Starting from a "basic problem": other similar (more complex) problems as perturbation of basic problem

• Bland EAs with other optimization paradigms.

• Particularly with exact methods, B&B, B&C  
Come up with theoretical frameworks for comparisons w/ exact methods.

**Characterize problem classes for which this would be promising.**

What are areas, where one can compete with exact methods.



- Algorithms for Optimization that learn from past problems solved and become better for new ones (all from the same "Class" of problems).

- What is the algorithm learning?
- Identifying similarity of past problems
- Precisely define the "class of problems"
- It would be nice to have a standard way of encoding the information learned by an algorithm on past problems that could be used by future algorithms (maybe already exists?)

- Design and provide guarantees for our methods in the solution of machine learning problems

To add to this: create stronger connections to the machine learning community..

For example: HPO tools - compare "her" and "our" methods, hybridize, improve.

- <sup>Or</sup> What classes of ML problems are reductionary (non-gradient based) methods competitive?
- ML-algorithms often depend crucially on the "right" parameter settings. Use EAs to find good ones.

Optimisation without an explicit objective function (learning the objective)

Focussing on precision of result, disregarding runtime.

Characterization of potential objectives

Dynamic environments, changing the algorithm "on-the-fly"

How difficult are certain objectives to learn?



- Algorithms for Optimization that learn from past problems solved and become better for new ones (all from the same "Class" of problems).

- What is the algorithm learning?
- Identifying similarity of past problems
- Precisely define the "class of problems"
- It would be nice to have a standard way of encoding the information learned by an algorithm on past problems that could be used by future algorithms (maybe already exists?)

- Design and provide guarantees for our methods in the solution of machine learning problems

To add to this: create stronger connections to the machine learning community..

For example: HPO tools - compare "her" and "our" methods, hybridize, improve.

- <sup>Or</sup> What classes of ML problems are reductionary (non-gradient based) methods competitive?
- ML-algorithms often depend crucially on the "right" parameter settings. Use EAs to find good ones.

Optimisation without an explicit objective function (learning the objective)

Focussing on precision of result, disregarding runtime.

Characterization of potential objectives

Dynamic environments, changing the algorithm "on-the-fly"

How difficult are certain objectives to learn?



Experimental analysis of  
continuous algorithms.

WHAT IS THU

VTE CASE

- More routine analysis or  
convergence analysis in  
evolutionary strategy.

- Make doing experiments  
cheaper

- considering the design  
of Experiment as a topic  
More than just a section.  
why do you design experiment

More research about  
neural networks

will be nice if we discuss  
the kernel design.  
- or weight selection.

combine it with  
~~machine~~ ML?

See how GAs compare  
to known algorithms  
in that field

GENETIC ALGORITHMS  
ARE GOOD AT PRUNING  
THESE, IT'D BE INTERE-  
-STING TO SEE, HOW  
SMALL WE CAN GET

EVALUATION PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

2) ~~the~~ <sup>more</sup> sensible ways to compare CoEAs in  
~~different~~ different solution concepts.

3) - More criteria for performance that is  
not just a single number

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the set of probability distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Given a deterministic map

$$F: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}),$$

what can we understand about  $D_0, F(D_0), F(F(D_0)), \dots$ ?

(based on properties of  $F$ ).

- When does the sequence converge? Rate of convergence?
- What metrics on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  to use.

Maybe useful for "better" / more precise statistical analysis and similar evaluation methods?

↳ What can we learn here that innovates beyond traditional EDA convergence theorems?

How can we make our field more attractive in the day and age of a booming ~~AI~~ neural network field - while everything else seems to get ignored?   
  $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$  Every other machine learning field

↳ Is it just a subjective feeling?

• Is it possible to study the intersection of NN and EC as done in neuroevolution not only for weight search but also for architecture search.

• When is it better to use Metaheuristic to train NN than Adam/SGD?

~~Benefits of EC~~

• Understandability of solutions as possible benefit of EC.

• Can EAs be made more efficient by "partial" fitness evaluations?   
 (It's possible this is already well-studied).

• Combine with algorithms to find "worst" performing codomain?

• In the style of Successive halving or iRace - which use time series to decide when to "give up" on a solution.



~~More benchmarking frameworks~~

More benchmarking frameworks for theoretical and experimental analysis

IDENTIFY WHAT IS MISSING IN CURRENT FRAMEWORKS

Design of benchmarks maximizing performance spread of algorithms

Feature analysis of problem classes.

Propose benchmarks that are suitable for both, theoretical and empirical studies

Game benchmarks for theoretical analysis

THEORY OF EA  
DESIGN FOR  
REAL WORLD  
APPLICATIONS

---

Adaptive and hybrid  
approaches, theory  
on concept drift  
detection

---

AUTOMATIC ALGO  
DESIGN & CONFIGU  
RATION

---

How to capture (iteratively)  
"user" requirements?

Learning of user  
preferences



## User-focused theory

Future FOGA shall...

Study theory + parameters  
around what it takes for  
EAs to be useful to users.

For example:

→ How diverse do solutions  
need to be for providing  
multiple options for  
decision support??

---

it might be useful to consider  
fixed-budget runtime analysis more  
since users generally know what  
budget they have and parameters  
might be different <sup>optimal</sup> ~~lower~~ for  
different budgets

also fixed-target  
runtime analysis for a  
specific application

PTO:

Matching properties of real-world  
problems to EAs that are  
theoretically understood to  
work well on such problems.

ERL

ALSO, LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS

1) Runtime Analysis or Regret Analysis  $\downarrow$  Evolutionary reinforcement learning.  
how to combine these two.

NFL

2) No-Free-lunch like analysis in multi-objective optimisation or Maximin optimisation.  
 $\wedge$   
more.

More Maths Tools can be introduced into the analysis  
in **Evolutionary computation**