



BASICS OF INFORMATION THEORY



Information content

- Entropy, mutual information, relative entropy
- Compression, Shannon's Noiseless Coding Theorem
- Minimum description length
- Noisy channels, Noisy-Channel Coding Theorem
- Modeling information content in natural language





Claude Shannon (1916 – 2001)

Information theory: Overview

- Landmark publication in 1948: "A Mathematical Theory of Communication"
- Fundamental problem: Exact or approximate reproduction of a received message

Main assumptions

- Communication happens over a channel
- > Information is measurable and always ≥ 0 , and is never lost
- The bit is the most fundamental unit of information
- Coding theory is a direct application area of information theory
 - Data compression (Source Coding)
 - Error correcting codes (Channel Coding)



- Formalization of information content
 - 1. Intuition: The more surprising a piece of information (i.e. event), the higher its information content

$$h(x) \uparrow \Leftrightarrow P(x) \downarrow$$

 2. Intuition: The content of two independent events x and event y should simply add up (additivity)

 $h(x \cap y) = h(x) + h(y)$

Define $h(x) \coloneqq -\log_2 P(x)$



Let X be a discrete random variable

> Definition: The **entropy of** *X* is defined as

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in dom(X)} P(x) \log P(x)$$

> Example

- \succ Let X be a random variable with 8 equally possible states
- ➤ What is the average number of bits needed to encode a state of X?

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in dom(X)} P(x) \log P(x)$$

= -8 $\frac{1}{8} \log \frac{1}{8} = 3$







 \succ log *n* ≥ *H*[*X*] ≥ 0, where *n* = |*dom*(*X*)|

- For discrete random variable X the entropy H[X] is maximized for $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{|dom(X)|}$
- For continuous random variable X, H[X] is maximized for $f_X(x) = N(E(X), Var(X))$
- → $H[Y|X] = -\sum_X \sum_Y P(X, Y) \log P(Y|X)$ (conditional entropy, i.e., the average additional information to encode Y when X is known)
- \succ H[X,Y] = H[Y|X] + H[X] (joint entropy of X and Y)
- ➢ H[X,Y] = H[X] + H[Y] for independent random variables X,Y (additivity)



► Let X be a random variable with 8 possible states $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8\}$

with occurrence probabilities

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{64}\right)$$

In any case: 3 bits would be sufficient to encode any of the 8 states.

Can we do better?





- \succ Let X be a random variable with n possible states.
- Theorem: For any noiseless encoding of the states of X, H(X) is a lower bound on the average code length of a state of X.
- Corollary: The Huffman compression achieves the above lower bound and is thus optimal for noiseless compression

(Note that there are more sophisticated algorithms that encode several states at the same time, e.g. Lempel-Ziv-Welch algorithm. With appropriately generalized notions of variables and states, Shannon's theorem still holds.)



Use lookahead window and backward window to scan text

- Identify in lookahead window the longest string that occurs in backward window and replace the string by a pointer to its previous occurrence
- Text is encoded in triples (previous, length, new) previous: distance to previous occurrence length: length of the string new: symbol following the string

More advanced variants use adaptive dictionaries with statistical occurrence analysis!



Text: *A A B A B B B A B A A B A B B B A B*

- Note that LZ77 and other sophisticated lossless compression algorithms (e.g. LZ78, Lempel-Ziv-Welch,...) encode several states at the same time.
- With appropriately generalized notions of variables and states, Shannon's noiseless coding theorem still holds!



- \succ Given a model M,
- > l(M): Number of bits needed to encode M,
- l(D|M): Number of bits needed to encode sample data D based on M (typically a function capturing information loss, e.g. - log P(D|M), for the data)
- > MDL principle: Choose the model for D is one that minimizes l(M) + l(D|M)
- > MDL from a probability perspective: Let P(M|D) be the posterior probability of model M given D.

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{M} P(M|D) = \operatorname{argmax}_{M} \left(\frac{P(D|M)P(M)}{P(D)} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{M} (-\log P(D|M) - \log P(M) + \log P(D))$$

Occam's razor (by William of Ockham, 1285 – 1349)

Given multiple hypotheses that explain the same data (other things being equal), choose the most plausible one (\approx the simplest hypothesis).

Goal of inductive inference

Accurate predictions based on previous observations

Simple examples

- What is the continuation of 010011000111 ... ?
- What is the continuation of 2, 4, 6, 8, ... ?
- For the last example sequence, 2k and $2k^4 20k^3 + 70k^2 98k + 48$ are both valid generating functions with different continuations
- The Kolmogorov Complexity (aka: algorithmic entropy) of a sequence x
 - The size of the smallest program *m* that generates *x* as output (i.e., the amount of information contained in *m*)
 - Is equivalent to the Minimum Description Length of x





- Encoder introduces systematic redundancy to s, resulting in vector t
- \succ **r** is a noisy version of **t**
- Decoder uses knowledge about systematic redundancy to reconstruct s as well as possible
- Main question: How to achieve perfect communication over an imperfect, noisy channel?



Example: Repetition Codes



Example from D. J. McKay: Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms

Simple probabilistic approach

- Suppose that P(0) = P(1) = 0.5 and that the probability of bit conversion due to noise (i.e., from 0 to 1 or vice versa) is q
- > Compute for each r_i the s_i that maximizes the likelihood ratio

$$\frac{P(r_i \mid s_i)}{P(r_i \mid \bar{s}_i)} = \prod_{1 \le j \le 3} \frac{P(r_{ij} \mid t_{ij})}{P(r_{ij} \mid \bar{t}_{ij})} \text{ , where } \frac{P(r_{ij} \mid t_{ij})}{P(r_{ij} \mid \bar{t}_{ij})} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q}{q}, r_{ij} = t_{ij} \\ \frac{q}{1-q}, r_{ij} \neq t_{ij} \end{cases}$$





> Definition: The channel capacity is given by

$$C = \max_{P(X)} \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X = x, Y = y) \log \left(\frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(X = x)P(Y = y)} \right)$$

Mutual information between X and Y

The mutual information measures the information that X and Y share in bits



Definition: The source information rate (or average block entropy) is the average number of channel symbols (i.e., bits) used to represent a source symbol in any block of source symbols

$$R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1)$$

> A source is called **memory-less** iff

$$R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} H(X_i) = \frac{n}{n} H(X) = H(X)$$



Noisy-Channel Coding Theorem (simplified)



> Theorem: Error-free transmission is possible if $H \le R \le C$.

Relative entropy (Kullback-Leibler Divergence)

Let f and g be two probability density functions over random variable X. Assuming that g is an approximation of f, the additional average number of bits to encode a state of X through g is given by

$$KL(f \parallel g) = \int_{x} f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$$

Properties of relative entropy

- \succ *KL*(*f* || *g*) ≥ 0 (Gibbs' inequality)
- $\succ KL(f \parallel g) \neq KL(g \parallel f) \text{ (asymmetric)}$

► Jensen-Shannon Divergence (symmetric measure based on KL divergence): $JS(f,g) = \alpha KL(f \parallel g) + \beta KL(g \parallel f)$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$

Mutual information for continuous variables

Let X and Y be two random variables with a joint distribution function P. The degree of their independence is given by $I[X,Y] = KL(P(X,Y) \parallel P(X)P(Y)) = \iint p(X,Y) \log \frac{P(X,Y)}{P(X)P(Y)} \ dX \ dY$

Properties of mutual information

- $\succ I[X,Y] \geq 0$
- \succ I[X, Y] = 0 if and only if X and Y are independent



Modeling natural language



Is there a weighting scheme that gives higher weights to representative terms?



Linguistic observation in large text corpora

- Few terms occur very frequently
- Many terms occur infrequently





Empirical law describing the portion of vocabulary captured by a document



See also: Modern Information Retrieval, 6.5.2



Zipf's law & Heaps' law



- > Two sides of the same coin ...
- Both laws suggest opportunities for compression



- Which are the terms that best represent a document?
- Is there a weighting scheme that gives higher weights to representative terms?



Terms in text ordered by frequencies



- Given a document, by which terms is it best represented?
 - Is there a weighting scheme that gives higher weights to representative terms?
 - Consider corpus with documents $D = \{d_1, ..., d_n\}$ with terms from a vocabulary $V = \{t_1, ..., t_m\}$.
 - > The term frequency of term t_i in document d_j is measured by

 $tf(t_i, d_j) = \frac{freq(t_i, d_j)}{max_k freq(t_k, d_j)}$ Normalisation makes estimation independent of document length.

 \succ The inverse document frequency for a term t_i is measured by

$$idf(t_i, D) = \log \frac{|D|}{|\{d \in D; t_i \text{ occurs in } d\}|}$$

> Central weighting scheme for
scoring and ranking Downweights terms that ocurr
in many documents (i.e., stop words:
the, to, from, if, ...).



Tf, idf, and tf-idf



Tf, idf, and tf-idf weights (plotted in log-scale) computed on a collection from Wall Street Journal (~99,000 articles published between 1987 and 1989)

Source: Modern Information Retrieval



- > We used a syntactic definition of information content
- But information content is not just a syntactic concept
- We also used a closed world assumption where the semantic context of sender and receiver was assumed to be the same and could thus be factored out
- What is the relation between semantics, information content, and communication context?