

Digital Engineering • Universität Potsdan



# Causal Inference Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Christopher Hagedorn, Johannes Huegle, Dr. Michael Perscheid April 28, 2020

Slide 2

# **Agenda** April 28, 2020

### Lecture Organization

### Causal Inference in a Nutshell

- 1. Motivation
- 2. A Short History
- 3. A Paradigm Shift
- 4. Causal Graphical Models
- 5. The Calculus of Causality
- 6. Summary and Outlook
- 7. Further Reading
- 8. References

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing





Digital Engineering • Universität Potsdam



# **Lecture Organization**

# **Lecture Organization** Setup

### **Teaching Staff**

- <u>Christopher Hagedorn</u>
- Johannes Huegle
- Dr. Michael Perscheid

### **Lecture Series**

- Dates: Tuesdays and Wednesday 9:15-10:45 AM
- Room: whereby.com (a link was provided after enrolment)
- Lecture Page: <u>https://hpi.de/plattner/teaching/summer-term-2020/causal-inference-theory-and-applications.html</u>

### **Consultation Hour**

- Subsequent to lectures: Tuesdays and Wednesday 10:45-11:15 AM
- Room: whereby.com



**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

# **Lecture Organization** Goals

### Understand...

- opportunities of causal inference
- the mathematical concepts
- challenges and problems in the context of real-world applications
- constraint-based algorithms to derive causal relationships

### Do...

- work in small teams
- work on a specific topic in the context of data-driven causal inference
- implement algorithms and analyze performance results
- write a scientific report

### Improve...

- mathematical, analytical, and modeling skills
- scientific working and writing
- machine learning techniques





# **Lecture Organization** Schedule (I/II)



- Learn theoretical basis of
  - Causal Graphical Models (May 05)
  - Conditional Independence Testing (May 12)
  - Causal Structure Learning (May 19)
  - Do-Calculus of Intervention (May 26)
- Tuesday: Topic related lectures
- Wednesday: If needed Q&A + Additional information + Exercises

### May 13: Topic Assignments

- □ Topic introduction (*May 05*)
- Form groups and apply for topics: *until Sun May 10, 11:59 PM*
- Assignment (May 13)

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



# **Lecture Organization** Schedule (II/II)



### May 13 - June 09: Training Period and Intermediate Presentations

- Get familiar to your topic
- $\hfill\square$  If needed Q&A and individual meetings with supervisors
- Present your topic, first results, challenges, and solution ideas (June 09)

### June 10 - July 08: Elaboration Period and Final Presentations

- Realization and implementation
- If needed Q&A and individual meetings with supervisors
- Present your results, open challenges, and solution ideas (July 07 or 08)

### July 09 – August 31: Scientific Writing Period

- Write scientific report on your findings, incorporate feedback and finalize work
- □ Submission: August 10 11:59 PM
- Deer-Review Submission: August 17, 11:59 PM
- Final Submission: *August 31, 11:59 PM*

#### **Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **Lecture Organization** Grading



- Credits:
  - 6 graded ECTS
- The grading of the seminar works as follows (aka "Leistungserfassungsprozess"):
  - **50%** intermediate and final presentation of implementation results
  - 40% scientific research article
  - 10% personal engagement

• All individual parts have to be passed to pass the complete lecture

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



Digital Engineering • Universität Potsdam



**Causal Inference in a Nutshell** 

# **1. Motivation** Causality: An Ubiquitous Notion

Teaching Statistics / Volume 22, Issue 2

Storks Deliver Babies (p= 0.008)

**Robert Matthews** 

First published: 25 December 2001 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9639.00013 Cited by:20

### Abstract

This article shows that a highly statistically significant correlation exists between stork populations and human birth rates across Europe.While storks may not deliver babies, unthinking interpretation of correlation and p-values can certainly deliver unreliable conclusions.





**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

# **1. Motivation** Causality: An Ubiquitous Notion







Mobile Edge Exp Other products by Mobile ★★★★★★ ⓒ (18 custo List Price: \$49.99 Price: \$48.32 You Save: \$1.67 (3\* Availability: In Stock. €

Want it delivered Turn at checkout. See details

21 used & new avail

See larger image and other views

Share your own customer images

#### **Better Together**

Buy this item with <u>HP Pavilion DV2610US 14.1" Entertainment</u> Hewlett-Packard today!



# Excel Basics Advanced Excel Formulas Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts VBA Excel Dashboards Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Downloads Charts Power Pivot Downloads Charts Project Mgmt. Power Pivot Piv

Obviously I didnt purchase neither the bag nor the laptop... but nevertheless I had a good laugh. I am not sure if it would behave if you access the link again, but here it is if you are curious. [Amazon.com: Mobile Edge Express Backpack-Black-MEBPE2: Electronics]

#### **Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **1. Motivation** Causality: An Ubiquitous Notion



Ann Emerg Med. 2017 Jan;69(1):62-72. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2016.08.007. Epub 2016 Sep 28.

The Effect of Combined Out-of-Hospital Hypotension and Hypoxia on Mortality in Major Traumatic Brain Injury.

Spaite DW<sup>1</sup>, Hu C<sup>2</sup>, Bobrow BJ<sup>3</sup>, Chikani V<sup>4</sup>, Barnhart B<sup>5</sup>, Gaither JB<sup>6</sup>, Denninghoff KR<sup>6</sup>, Adelson PD<sup>7</sup>, Keim SM<sup>6</sup>, Viscusi C<sup>6</sup>, Mullins T<sup>8</sup>, Sherrill D<sup>9</sup>.

#### Abstract

STUDY OBJECTIVE: Survival is significantly reduced by either hypotension or hypoxia during the out-of-hospital management of major traumatic brain injury. However, only a handful of small studies have investigated the influence of the combination of both hypotension and hypoxia occurring together. In patients with major traumatic brain injury, we evaluate the associations between mortality and out-of-hospital hypotension and hypoxia separately and in combination.

METHODS: All moderate or severe traumatic brain injury cases in the preimplementation cohort of the Excellence in Prehospital Injury Care study (a statewide, before/after, controlled study of the effect of implementing the out-of-hospital traumatic brain injury treatment guidelines) from January 1, 2007, to March 31, 2014, were evaluated (exclusions: <10 years, out-of-hospital oxygen saturation <10%, and out-of-hospital systolic blood pressure <40 or >200 mm Hg). The relationship between mortality and hypotension (systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg) or hypoxia (saturation <90%) was assessed with multivariable logistic regression, controlling for Injury Severity Score, head region severity, injury type (blunt versus penetrating), age, sex, race, ethnicity, payer, interhospital transfer, and trauma center.

**RESULTS:** Among the 13,151 patients who met inclusion criteria (median age 45 years; 68.6% men), 11,545 (87.8%) had neither hypotension nor hypoxia, 604 (4.6%) had hypotension only, 790 (6.0%) had hypoxia only, and 212 (1.6%) had both hypotension and hypoxia. Mortality for the 4 study cohorts was 5.6%, 20.7%, 28.1%, and 43.9%, respectively. The crude and adjusted odds ratios for death within the cohorts, using the patients with neither hypotension nor hypoxia as the reference, were 4.4 and 2.5, 6.6 and 3.0, and 13.2 and 6.1, respectively. Evaluation for an interaction between hypotension and hypoxia revealed that the effects were additive on the log odds of death.

**CONCLUSION:** In this statewide analysis of major traumatic brain injury, combined out-of-hospital hypotension and hypoxia were associated with significantly increased mortality. This effect on survival persisted even after controlling for multiple potential confounders. In fact, the adjusted odds of death for patients with both hypotension and hypoxia were more than 2 times greater than for those with either hypotension or hypoxia alone. These findings seem supportive of the emphasis on aggressive prevention and treatment of hypotension and hypoxia reflected in the current emergency medical services traumatic brain injury treatment guidelines but clearly reveal the need for further study to determine their influence on outcome.

#### Words Matter: Researchers Should Avoid Implying Causation in Studies of Association

Joshua S. Broder, MD

Duke University School of Medicine, Division of Emergency Medicine, Durham, NC

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2017.03.016 | ( CrossMark

#### Abstract Full Text References

#### To the Editor:

I read with interest the article by Spaite et al.<sup>1</sup> The authors should be commended for a carefully conducted analysis of the association between survival after major traumatic brain injury and the combination of hypoxia and hypotension. However, even with attempts to statistically isolate hypoxia and hypotension from confounding variables such as injury severity, this nonrandomized cohort study cannot determine causation, which is implied by the title ("The Effect of Combined Out-of-Hospital Hypotension and Hypoxia on Mortality in Major Traumatic Brain Injury"), the abstract, the article, and the Editor's Capsule Summary ("what is the effect on survival of the combination of hypotension and hypoxia compared with either factor alone?").

Although this may appear to be a semantic or minor concern, appropriate terminology in describing research methods, results, and conclusions is of fundamental importance. The history of medicine illustrates the potential harms of misconstruing an association as a causal relationship and acting (with good intentions) to reduce a misperceived effect. For example, estrogen replacement was falsely identified as the cause of improved women's cardiovascular health according to associations from observational cohort studies; prospective randomized controlled trials demonstrated harms from this therapy.<sup>2-5</sup>

#### **Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **1. Motivation** Causality: What is it?





Causality is central notion in science, decision-taking and daily life.

How to reason formally about cause and effect?

Question: How do you define cause and effect?

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **2. A Short History** Causality in Philosophy





"...Thus we remember to have seen that species of object we call flame, and to have felt that species of sensation we call heat. We likewise call to mind their **constant conjunction in all past instances**. Without any farther ceremony, we call the one **cause** and the other **effect**, and infer the existence of the one from that of the other."

David Hume, A Treatise of Human Nature (1738)

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



# **2. A Short History** Causality in Philosophy





**But:** Does the stork really bring babies?

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

# **2. A Short History** Causality in Philosophy



Some philosophers even proposed to abandon the concept of causality completely.



"All philosophers [...] imagine that causation is one of the fundamental axioms or postulates of science, yet, oddly enough, in advanced sciences such as gravitational astronomy, the word **`cause'** never occurs. The law of **causality**, I believe, like much that passes muster among philosophers, is **a relict of a bygone age**, surviving, like the monarchy, only because it is erroneously supposed to do no harm."

Bertrand Russell, On The Notion Of Cause (1912)

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

### Challenge in statistics: Draw conclusions from data

### James Lind (1716-1794): How to treat scurvy?

- Scurvy results from a lack of vitamin C
- 12 scorbutic sailor treated with different acids, e.g. vinegar, cider, lemon
- Only the condition of the sailor treated by lemon improved
- "If your experiment needs statistics, you ought to have done a better experiment." Ernest Rutherford (1871-1937)



Perscheid

**Causal Inference** 

Hagedorn, Huegle,

Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing





# **2. A Short History** Causality in Statistics

# **2. A Short History** Causality in Statistics

But: What if you cannot do a *randomized experiment* or receive ambiguous results?

Use statistical tests to validate your hypothesis

Check whether it is statistically significant that  $P(recovery \mid lemons) > P(recovery \mid no \ lemons)$ 

Or in other words:

"Is there a dependence (!!!) between recovery and the treatment with lemons?"

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid





# **2. A Short History** Causality in Statistics





"Beyond such discarded fundamentals as `matter' and `force' lies **still another fetish** amidst the inscrutable arcana of even modern science, namely, **the category of cause and effect**."

Karl Pearson (1857-1936)

### Correlation does not imply causation.

Since then, many statisticians tried to avoid causal reasoning

- "Considerations of causality should be treated as they have always been in statistics: preferably not at all." (Terry Speed, 1990)
- "It would be very healthy if more researchers abandon thinking of and using terms such as cause and effect." (Bengt Muthen, 1987)

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **2. A Short History** Causality in Statistics



### But dependence says us something about causation:



**"Common Cause Principle"** Hans Reichenbach (1891-1953)

If there is a statistical dependence between variables *X* and *Y*, e.g.,



then either

- X causally influences Y (or vise versa), e.g.,
- or there exists Z causally influencing both, e.g.,





**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **3. A Paradigm Shift** The Idea: Plato's Allegory of the Cave





**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

Do not model the distribution of the data but model the mechanisms that generated the data!

### Donald Rubin (\*1943)

Judea Pearl (\*1936)

Donald Campbell (1916 - 1996)

"[...] all approaches to causation are variants or abstractions

of [...] structural theory [...]." Judea Pearl

Dawid Philip (\*1946)

Clive Granger (1934 - 2009) **Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

Slide 22

# domain knowledge and data.

Some people who contributed to causality theories:

# 3. A Paradigm Shift **Basic Contributions**



The modeling of the underlying structures provides a language to encode causal









# **3. A Paradigm Shift** Structural Causal Models





Judea Pearl (\*1936)

### ACM Turing Award 2011:

"For fundamental contributions to artificial intelligence through the development of a calculus for probabilistic and causal reasoning."



**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

"[...] all approaches to causation are variants or abstractions of [...] structural theory [...]." Judea Pearl

# **4. Causal Graphical Models** The Idea in one Example



### Do storks deliver babies?

Country	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Storks (pairs)	Humans (10 <sup>6</sup> )	Birth rate $(10^3/yr)$
Albania	28,750	100	3.2	83
Austria	83,860	300	7.6	87
Belgium	30,520	1	9.9	118
Bulgaria	111,000	5000	9.0	117
Denmark	43,100	9	5.1	59
France	544,000	140	56	774
Germany	357,000	3300	78	901
Greece	132,000	2500	10	106
Holland	41,900	4	15	188
Hungary	93,000	5000	11	124
Italy	301,280	5	57	551
Poland	312,680	30,000	38	610
Portugal	92,390	1500	10	120
Romania	237,500	5000	23	367
Spain	504,750	8000	39	439
Switzerland	41,290	150	6.7	82
Turkey	779,450	25,000	56	1576



"Highly **statistically significant** degree of correlation between stork populations and birth rates" (or in technical terms, p = 0.008)



**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **4. Causal Graphical Models** The Idea in one Example



### Do storks deliver babies?

Country	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Storks (pairs)	Humans (10 <sup>6</sup> )	Birth rate $(10^3/yr)$
Albania	28,750	100	3.2	83
Austria	83,860	300	7.6	87
Belgium	30,520	1	9.9	118
Bulgaria	111,000	5000	9.0	117
Denmark	43,100	9	5.1	59
France	544,000	140	56	774
Germany	357,000	3300	78	901
Greece	132,000	2500	10	106
Holland	41,900	4	15	188
Hungary	93,000	5000	11	124
Italy	301,280	5	57	551
Poland	312,680	30,000	38	610
Portugal	92,390	1500	10	120
Romania	237,500	5000	23	367
Spain	504,750	8000	39	439
Switzerland	41,290	150	6.7	82
Turkey	779,450	25,000	56	1576



But a **simple variable that affects both** the birth rate and the stork population is the size of each country.

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid



# **4. Causal Graphical Models** The Concept



Should we treat scurvy with lemons?

**Problem:** Probability theory has an associational, and not a causal nature.

### To see this:

- Recap the scurvy experiment
- Assume that the data is generated by model G.
  - The **recovery** of the scurvy is causally influenced by the 0 treatment with lemons.
  - But now, both the **recovery** of scurvy as well as the **treatment** 0 with lemons are causally influenced by the age of the sailors.
- The question remains:



**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

Slide 27



## 5. The Calculus of Causality Causal Inference: How to Build a Formal Theory?

"Causality, although widely used, does not seem to be well-defined" (Lindley and Novick, 1981)

# **5. The Calculus of Causality** The Associational Nature of Probability Theory

- We run an experiment w.r.t. the model G,
   i.e., we favor old sailors for treatment with lemons.
- The observed data of all sailors:

Combined	Recovery	No Recovery	Total	<b>Recovery Rate</b>
No lemons	20	20	40	50 %
Lemons	16	24	40	40 %
Total	36	44	80	

Hence, we see that

*P*(*recovery*|*lemons*) < *P*(*recovery*|*no lemons*)

Should we treat scurvy with lemons?



**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



# 5. The Calculus of Causality The Associational Nature of Probability Theory

### The observed data of old sailors:

Old	Recovery	No Recovery	Total	<b>Recovery Rate</b>
No lemons	2	8	10	20 %
lemons	9	21	30	30 %
Total	11	29	40	

 $\Rightarrow$  P(recovery|lemons, old) > P(recovery|no lemons, old)

### The observed data of young sailors:

Young	Recovery	No Recovery	Total	<b>Recovery Rate</b>
No lemons	18	12	30	60 %
Lemons	7	3	10	70 %
Total	25	15	40	

 $\Rightarrow$  P(recovery|lemons, young) > P(recovery|no lemons, young)

**Causal Inference** 

Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

Should we treat scurvy with lemons?





# **5. The Calculus of Causality** Pearl's Contribution: The do-operator

- This reversal of the association between two variables after considering the third variable is called Simpson's Paradox.
- How to resolute the paradox and find an answer?



- In an interventional regime, all influences stemming from "natural causes" of the exposure variable are removed (e.g., see randomized experiments).
- Pearl extends probability calculus by introducing a new operator for describing interventions, the **do-operator**.

### Example:

P(lung cancer|smoke)Probability somebody gets lung cancer,given that he smokes.

P(lung cancer|do(smoke))
Probability somebody gets lung cancer,
if we force the person to smoke.

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hasso Plattner

Institut

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **5. The Calculus of Causality** Application of the do-operator

### **Resolution of the Simpson's paradox**

- Simpson's paradox is only paradoxical if we misinterpret
   *P(recovery|lemons)* as *P(recovery|do(lemons))* We should treat scurvy with lemons if
  - $P(recovery|do(lemons)) > P(recovery|do(no \ lemons))$

### **Derivation of the do-operator**

- If identifiable,
  - $P(\cdot | do(\cdot))$  can be calculated from G and observational Data
- In our example, we have

$$P(recovery|do(lemons)) = \sum_{age} P(age) P(recovery|age, lemons) = 0.5$$
$$P(recovery|do(no \ lemons)) = \sum_{age} P(age) P(recovery|age, no \ lemons) = 0.4$$

We should treat scurvy with lemons!



HPI

Hasso Plattner

Institut

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid



# 6. Summary and Outlook Concept

E.g., what is the sailors' probability of recovery when **we see** a treatment with lemons?

Q(P) = P(recovery | lemons)

E.g., what is the sailors' probability of recovery if **we do** treat them with lemons? Q(G) = P(recovery|do(lemons)) **Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

Hasso

Plattner

Institut

HPI

Hagedorn, Huegle, Perscheid

# **6. Summary and Outlook** Inference Procedure





### Data Causal Structure Learning

### **Opportunities**

### **Examples**

# 6. Summary and Outlook Summary



### Traditional statistics, machine learning, etc.

- About associations
- Model the distribution of the data
- Predict given observations

### **Causal Inference**

- About causation
- Model the mechanism that generates the data
- Predict results of interventions

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing

# **6. Summary and Outlook** Next Topics

### The following questions remain

- What are causal graphical models?
- How to recover these models from data?
- How to do causal inference in this model?

### In order to answer these questions, we will learn about

- Causal Graphical Models G
- Conditional Independence Testing *P*
- Constraint-Based Causal Structure Learning □→G
- Causal Inference on Causal Graphs Q(G)

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



### Slide 36

# 6. Summary and Outlook Next Topics

### Literature

- Pearl, J. (2009). <u>Causal inference in statistics: An overview</u>. Statistics Surveys, 3:96-146.
- Pearl, J. (2009). <u>Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference.</u> Cambridge University Press.
- Pearl, J. (2011). <u>Simpson's paradox: An anatomy</u>. Department of Statistics, UCLA
- Spirtes, P., Glymour, C., and Scheines, R. (2000). Causation, Prediction, and Search. The MIT Press.

### Lecture

 Judea Pearl's Turing Award Lecture: <u>https://amturing.acm.org/vp/pearl\_2658896.cfm</u> **Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



# **8. References** List of Figures



Picture of stork (pp. 15,24) https://calpeculiarities.lexblogplatform.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/221/2013/02/stork2.jpg

- Picture of Rubin (p. 22) https://static.hwpi.harvard.edu/files/styles/profile\_full/public/statistics/files/rubin.jpg
- Picture of Pearl (pp. 22,23) http://bayes.cs.ucla.edu/jp-bw-photo72dpi.jpg
- Picture of Campbell (p. 22) http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/0/02/Donald\_T\_Campbell-lg.jpg
- Picture of Philip (p. 22) http://www.statslab.cam.ac.uk/~apd/IMG\_2847b.jpg
- Picture of Granger (p. 22) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clive Granger#/media/File:Clive Granger by Olaf Storbeck.jpg
- Picture of Plato's Allegory (p. 21) http://bayes.cs.ucla.edu/jsm-august2016-bw.pdf

Screenshots taken by author (p. 11) from Amazon.com , chandoo.org

**Causal Inference** Theory and Applications in Enterprise Computing



IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam

Thank you for your attention!