

IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam

Scrum Deep Dive

Software Engineering II WS 2020/21

Enterprise Platform and Integration Concepts

Image by Four Bricks Tall on flickr: https://www.flickr.com/photos/fourbrickstall/50374288516/ (CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)

Effort, Schedule & Cost Estimation

Estimations and schedules in Software Engineering

- Depend on software development process
- Highly uncertain, must be negotiated and revised with stakeholders

Waterfall effort estimation

- Methods: calibrated estimation model based on historical data,
 - e.g. Function Points, LOC or expert judgment
- Output: X man-months

Agile effort estimation

- Iterative methods, shorter planning horizon
- Output: functionality to be implemented in the next iteration



Planning Poker

Participants

- **Everyone** operationally involved in creating the software product
- Product Owner (and Scrum Master) are not playing

Preconditions

- Product backlog is complete and prioritized
- Backlog items are known by the team
- The effort for a small backlog item was determined as a reference
- Every participant has a set of sizing cards



Planning Poker

Process

- Product Owner explains backlog item and the business value
- Product Owner answers questions of team members
- Participants estimate complexity of item and choose a card (hidden)

- All cards shown simultaneously
- Participants with highest and lowest number explain choices
- Arguments are discussed in the group



Planning Poker

- A new vote is conducted
- **Team agrees** on item size
 - Most occurring or average value might be acceptable
 - □ If not, another round is played
- The moderator notes size of backlog item in the product backlog
- The game ends if all backlog items are sized or time is over



Affinity Estimation

Participants

- **Everyone** operationally involved in creating the software product
- Product Owner (and Scrum Master) are not participating, but are present for questions

Preconditions

- Product backlog is complete, prioritized and understood
- A shared space to work in
- User Stories that can be moved around (post-it notes, printed, in shared workspace)



Affinity Estimation

Step 1: Silent Relative Sizing

■ Team members place backlog items on scale of "smaller" to "larger"

HP

No discussion at this point



Affinity Estimation

Step 2: Editing

- Team members rearrange stories on the scale, discuss changes
- Clarifications from PO

Step 3: Place stories into categories

- Place size categories (e.g. Fibonacci sequence) above scale
- Assign each story a size based on location



Estimating Large Backlogs

Bucket Estimation

- Relative estimation
- Quickly place items into few buckets of radically different sizes
 - □ E.g. T-Shirt sizes (S, M, L, XL)
 - □ Quickly present an item, ask the crowd to point to a bucket
- Estimate sample items from buckets to determine size of an average item
 - □ Max. 2-3 items per bucket
 - Break up into smaller diverse groups
 - Estimate using a fitting approach



Dealing with Uncertainty Spikes

What can we do if no team members lack knowledge in a particular domain?

- Hard to estimate with little knowledge
- Take time out of the sprint to research and learn
- Spike
- For example, evaluate new technologies

After the Planning Meeting

Begin the sprint

- Break down stories into tasks and fill your Scrum Board
 - Keep acceptance criteria in mind
 - □ Keep Definition of Done in mind
- Developers assign stories to themselves
- Implement the stories task by task
 - Communicate what you are working on
 - □ e.g. Draft Pull Requests



Project Workflow: Developers



Scrum Burn-Down Chart



- Graphical representation of work left to do vs time
- X-Axis: sprint timeline, e.g. 10 days
- Y-Axis: work that needs to be completed in sprint (time or story points)
- "Ideal" work remaining line: straight line from start to end
- Actual work remaining line
 - □ above ideal: behind schedule, below ideal: ahead schedule

Definition of Done

Defining when a User Story is finished

- Acceptance criteria fulfilled
- All related tests are green
- Code meets agreed quality standards
- Code was reviewed (by whom?)
- Implementation meets non-functional requirements
 - Internationalization
 - □ Security, legal
 - Documentation

The Definition of Done is the team's consensus of what it takes to complete a feature.

Definition of Ready

When is a user story ready for implementation?

Similar to Definition of Done, but for user stories

HP

Examples

- Estimated
- Acceptance criteria
- Mockups for UI stories

Beyond Scrum

Scrum critique:

- Scrum and agile are by no means universally accepted as "the way" to do software engineering ("Agile Hangover")
- Michael O. Church Why "Agile" and especially Scrum are terrible (2015) <u>https://michaelochurch.wordpress.com/2015/06/06/why-agile-and-especially-scrum-are-terrible/</u>
 - □ Business-driven engineering
 - Scrum increases the feedback frequency while giving engineers no real power
 - Terminal juniority

Architecture and R&D and product development aren't part of the programmer's job

□ It's stupidly, dangerously short-term

engineers rewarded solely based on completion of current sprint

Beyond Scrum

Scrum critique:

- Building Software with David Heinemeier Hansson <u>https://medium.com/computers-are-hard/computers-are-hard-building-software-with-david-heinemeier-hansson-c9025cdf225e</u>
 - □ "estimation is bullshit. It's so imprecise as to be useless"
 - "No one is ever able to accurately describe what [...] software should do before they see the piece of software."
 - "Agile was sort of onto this idea that you need running software to get feedback but the modern implementations of Agile are not embracing the lesson they themselves taught."



David Heinemeier Hansson created Ruby on Rails



IT Systems Engineering | Universität Potsdam



Image by Steel Wool on flickr: https://www.flickr.com/photos/wynnie/24006676647/ (CC BY-NC-ND 2.0)

Recap: SWTII High-level Overview



Implications of the Setup

What's needed in such an environment?

- Development process
- **Communication** on multiple levels
- Infrastructure for collaboration

Scaling Scrum: Project Start

Start small and grow organically

- Single Scrum (teaching) team for preparation
- Work out foundation for the first sprints
- Scale when it becomes necessary

SWTII is already at a scaling point

Multiple collaborating teams

Product Owner / Backlog Hierarchy



Dealing with Dependencies & Scale Ambassadors



Mutual Exchange of team members

- Improve efficiency of communications
- Allow deeper understanding of (other teams') problems
- Prevents coordination problems early
 - □ Ambassadors should be fully integrated team members
 - □ Especially useful for API development, design, etc.

Scaling Scrum: Sprint Planning

Preparation

- Individual review and retrospection meetings
- Sprint Planning of all teams with 1-2 members each:
 - Review of the last sprint
 - Input dependencies (What is needed)
 - Output dependencies (What needs to be delivered)

Execution

- Individual Plannings in teams
- Discussion of identified additional input or output dependencies
- Final Sprint Planning

Problem: Time consuming & high degree of coordination needed!

Scaling Scrum: Sprint Planning

Another Option: Co-located planning



Scrum of Scrums

Goal: Synchronize team effort with minimal coordination overhead

- Regular meeting of Scrum Masters / process interested
 - Developers join if necessary (ambassador principle)
- Scrum Masters or those interested
 - □ Share their learnings
 - □ Report completions & next steps
 - Coordinate inter-team dependencies
 - Negotiate responsibility
- Developers discuss technical interfaces across teams
- Distribute information back into the teams

Summary

Effort estimation

- Planning Poker
- Affinity Estimation
- Bucket Estimation

Scrum Concepts

- Spikes
- Developer workflow
- Burn-Down Chart
- Definition of Done
- Definition of Ready
- Scrum critique

Scaling Scrum

- Backlog Hierarchy
- Ambassadors
- Scaled Sprint Planning
- Scrum of Scrums